## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR - 3 2007

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant** 

**Application Number** 

Filing Date

Title

**Docket Number** 

Examiner

Art Unit Conformation No.

WESTON, LANCE

10/750,385

12/31/2003

INPUT TRANSIENT PROTECTION FOR

**ELECTRONIC DEVICES** 

H0006069-0555

DINH, TUAN T

2841

8983

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## **RESPONSE**

This is a response to the office action mailed on 02/27/2007.

The office action stated:

"In the response filed on 10/12/06, claims 1-12, and 27-61 being stated as withdrawn claims (claims 13-26 have been canceled), but in the response filed on 09/26/06, the applicant stated claims 1-61 have been canceled. Please, submit the correction of the pending claims.:"

After several phone conferences with Examiner Dinh relating to procedures for addingback canceled claims and specifically how to add claims that would ordinarily be designated as new, but are really withdrawn, it became apparent that the premise of the office action was not correct. More specifically,

- 1. No response was ever filed for this application on 09/26/06 and
- 2. Claims "1-61" were never canceled.

The above is apparent even from the file wrapper on the PAIR system. The response dated 09/06/06 canceled only claims 13-26. Similarly, the response dated